1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	RITA M. LANE Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 171352		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		, ·
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2614 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
	Attorneys for Complainant		
8		RE THE	
9	PROFESSIONAL FIDUCIARIES BUREAU DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Motter of the Assessing Assignt	Casa Na	•
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No.	•
	TERESA LAGGNER P.O. Box 1710	ACCUSATION	
14	La Mesa, CA 91944-1710		
15	La Mesa, CA 91944-1710 Professional Fiduciary License No. PF 182		
15	Professional Fiduciary License No. PF 182		
15 16	Professional Fiduciary License No. PF 182		
15 16 17	Professional Fiduciary License No. PF 182		
15 16 17 18 19	Professional Fiduciary License No. PF 182 Respondent. Complainant alleges:	RTIES	
15 16 17 18 19 20	Professional Fiduciary License No. PF 182 Respondent. Complainant alleges:	RTIES and Accusation solely in his	official capacity as the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Professional Fiduciary License No. PF 182 Respondent. Complainant alleges: PAR 1. Gil DeLuna (Complainant) brings the	nis Accusation solely in his	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Professional Fiduciary License No. PF 182 Respondent. Complainant alleges: PAF 1. Gil DeLuna (Complainant) brings the Acting Chief of the Professional Fiduciaries Bur	nis Accusation solely in his creau, Department of Consum	ner Affairs.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Professional Fiduciary License No. PF 182 Respondent. Complainant alleges: PAR 1. Gil DeLuna (Complainant) brings the	nis Accusation solely in his creau, Department of Consum	ner Affairs.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Professional Fiduciary License No. PF 182 Respondent. Complainant alleges: PAF 1. Gil DeLuna (Complainant) brings the Acting Chief of the Professional Fiduciaries Bur	nis Accusation solely in his oreau, Department of Consum rofessional Fiduciaries Bure	ner Affairs. eau, Department of
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Professional Fiduciary License No. PF 182 Respondent. Complainant alleges: PAR 1. Gil DeLuna (Complainant) brings the Acting Chief of the Professional Fiduciaries Bur 2. On or about February 2, 2009, the Professional Fiduciaries Bur	nis Accusation solely in his oreau, Department of Consum rofessional Fiduciaries Burey License Number PF 182 to	ner Affairs. eau, Department of Department of
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Professional Fiduciary License No. PF 182 Respondent. Complainant alleges: PAF 1. Gil DeLuna (Complainant) brings the Acting Chief of the Professional Fiduciaries Bur 2. On or about February 2, 2009, the Professional Fiduciary Consumer Affairs, issued Professional Fiduciary	reau, Department of Consum rofessional Fiduciaries Bure y License Number PF 182 to use was in full force and efforce	ner Affairs. eau, Department of D Teresa Laggner ect at all times
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Professional Fiduciary License No. PF 182 Respondent. Complainant alleges: PAF 1. Gil DeLuna (Complainant) brings the Acting Chief of the Professional Fiduciaries Bur 2. On or about February 2, 2009, the P. Consumer Affairs, issued Professional Fiduciary (Respondent). The Professional Fiduciary Licentage (Respondent).	reau, Department of Consum rofessional Fiduciaries Bure y License Number PF 182 to use was in full force and efforce	ner Affairs. eau, Department of D Teresa Laggner ect at all times
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Professional Fiduciary License No. PF 182 Respondent. Complainant alleges: PAF 1. Gil DeLuna (Complainant) brings the Acting Chief of the Professional Fiduciaries Bur 2. On or about February 2, 2009, the P Consumer Affairs, issued Professional Fiduciary (Respondent). The Professional Fiduciary Licentelevant to the charges brought herein and will e ///	reau, Department of Consum rofessional Fiduciaries Bure y License Number PF 182 to use was in full force and efforce	ner Affairs. eau, Department of D Teresa Laggner ect at all times
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Professional Fiduciary License No. PF 182 Respondent. Complainant alleges: PAF 1. Gil DeLuna (Complainant) brings the Acting Chief of the Professional Fiduciaries Bur 2. On or about February 2, 2009, the P. Consumer Affairs, issued Professional Fiduciary (Respondent). The Professional Fiduciary Licentel Televant to the charges brought herein and will expendent to the charges brought herein and will expendent.	reau, Department of Consum rofessional Fiduciaries Bure y License Number PF 182 to use was in full force and efforce	ner Affairs. eau, Department of D Teresa Laggner ect at all times

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Professional Fiduciaries Bureau, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 6580 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) The bureau may upon its own, and shall, upon the receipt of a complaint from any person, investigate the actions of any professional fiduciary. The bureau shall review a professional fiduciary's alleged violation of statute, regulation, or the Professional Fiduciaries Code of Ethics and any other complaint referred to it by the public, a public agency, or the department, and may impose sanctions upon a finding of a violation or a breach of fiduciary duty.
 - (b) Sanctions shall include any of the following:
 - (1) Administrative citations and fines as provided in Section 125.9 for a violation of this chapter, the Professional Fiduciaries Code of Ethics, or any regulation adopted under this chapter.
 - (2) License suspension, probation, or revocation

. . . .

5. Section 6582 of the Code states:

All proceedings against a licensee for any violation of this chapter or any regulations adopted by the bureau shall be conducted in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act (Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code), and shall be prosecuted by the Attorney General's office, and the bureau shall have all the powers granted therein.

6. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

STATUTORY REGULATIONS

7. Section 6584 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

A license issued under this chapter may be suspended, revoked, denied, or other disciplinary action may be imposed for one or more of the following causes:

•

	-
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	a
8	tl
9	e
10	-
11	
12	
13	f
14	a
15	
16	n
17	v
18	F
19	E
20	
21	u
22	a
23	v
	1

24

25

26

27

28

(d) Fraud, dishonesty, corruption, willful violation of duty, gross negligence or incompetence in practice, or unprofessional conduct in, or related to, the practice of a professional fiduciary. For purposes of this section, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, acts contrary to professional standards concerning any provision of law substantially related to the duties of a professional fiduciary.

. . . .

COSTS

8. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Bureau may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Dishonesty)

- 9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 6584, subdivision (d), for unprofessional conduct in that she was dishonest when she stole money from client trust accounts for her own personal use to gamble at Barona Casino. The circumstances are as follows:
- 10. In the course of Respondent's responsibilities as a professional fiduciary, she managed and was in control of several estates and served as a trustee. As a trustee, Respondent was authorized to open bank accounts to maintain trust assets. From 2006 through 2010, Respondent opened accounts for the majority of the trust accounts under her control at Union Bank in La Mesa, California.
- 11. With complete and sole control over the trust accounts, Respondent made unauthorized wire transfers from the trust accounts at Union Bank, via on-line banking, of trust assets to her personal bank accounts. Shortly after the transfers were complete, Respondent withdrew the cash or made checks payable to Barona Casino. Barona Casino then used the money to credit Respondent's Player's Account at Barona Casino. Respondent used the credits from her Player's Account to gamble for her personal benefit at Barona Casino.
- 12. From 2006 through 2009, Respondent claimed on her joint income tax returns with her husband that she lost at least \$920,000 as a result of her gambling, an amount which was larger than her family's reported income during the same time period. Bank records reflect that,